PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Leonoid Fridman DOCKET NO.: 05-00837.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-34-207-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Leonoid Fridman, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein of Schiller, Klein & McElroy, P.C., in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 49-year-old, one-story brick dwelling that contains 1,446 square feet of living area. Features of the home include central air-conditioning, a 294 square foot garage and a full unfinished basement.

Through his attorney, the appellant submitted evidence to the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis of four comparable properties. The comparables consist of one-story style brick dwellings that are 49 or 50 years old and contain 1,360 square feet of living area. The comparables feature central air-conditioning and full unfinished basements. These properties have improvement assessments of \$55,113 or \$56,065, or \$41.23 or \$40.53 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$62,508 or \$43.23 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment of \$121,069 was disclosed. In support of the subject's improvement assessment, the board of review submitted property record cards and a grid analysis of three comparable properties, one of which is located on the subject's street. The comparables consist of one-story style brick dwellings that are 49 years old and contain 1,360 or 1,412

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds $\underline{no\ change}$ in the assessment of the property as established by the $\underline{\mathbf{Lake}}$ County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 58,561 IMPR.: \$ 62,508 TOTAL: \$ 121,069

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/MRT/9/18/07

DOCKET NO.: 05-00837.001-R-1

square feet of living area. Features of the comparables include garages that contain from 280 to 600 square feet of building area and full unfinished basements. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$58,744 to \$61,316 or from \$43.19 to \$44.15 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested the subject's total assessment be confirmed.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The Board finds the parties submitted seven comparables for its consideration. The comparables were all one-story brick dwellings like the subject and were similar to it in most property characteristics. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$40.53 to \$44.15 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$43.23 per square foot of living area falls within this range. The Board thus finds the evidence in the record supports the subject's assessment.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

In conclusion, the Board finds the appellant failed to establish unequal treatment in the assessment process by clear and convincing evidence and the subject property's assessment as established by the board of review is correct.

DOCKET NO.: 05-00837.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board are subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 28, 2007

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

DOCKET NO.: 05-00837.001-R-1

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A $\frac{\text{PETITION}}{\text{AND}}$ EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.